

<sup>1</sup> Department of Social Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, PJ Safarik University in Kosice

<sup>2</sup> Department of Social Medicine/Northern Centre for Healthcare Research, University of Groningen

## Socio-economic differences in health risk behaviour and attitudes towards health risk behaviour among Slovak adolescents

### Summary

**Objectives:** Socio-economic differences in the frequency of smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, physical exercise, and attitudes toward smoking were explored in a sample of Slovak adolescents (1 370 boys, 1 246 girls, mean age 15 years).

**Methods:** Identification of socio-economic status was based on three indicators: the highest educational level of parents, the highest occupational class of parents, and the type of school the adolescents attended.

**Results:** Health risk behaviour was strongly related to socio-economic status based on all three socio-economic indicators, although there were some exceptions mostly related to education as indicator of socio-economic status and to alcohol consumption experience and drug use experience. The pattern of socio-economic differences was unfavourable for lower socio-economic groups of adolescents, except for differences in frequency of alcohol consumption among females when highest education of parents was used as an indicator of socio-economic status.

**Conclusions:** There are socio-economic differences in health risk behaviour. Lower socio-economic groups of adolescents behave riskily more frequently than higher socio-economic groups of adolescents.

**Keywords:** Socio-economic differences – Health risk behaviour – Slovak adolescents.

Health risk behaviour (HRB), like smoking, alcohol consumption and (lack of) physical exercise, may produce a variety of undesirable health outcomes as early as in adolescence (Rahkonen et al. 1993; Poikolainen et al. 1995; Oja 1997; Geckova et al. 2000a; Twisk et al. 1997; Holmen et al. 2000). These behaviours are mostly established during ado-

lescence and extend into adulthood (Pietilä et al. 1995; Hemingsson et al. 1999). Unequal distribution of HRB among socio-economic groups may contribute to the explanation of actual or further socio-economic inequalities in health (West 1988; West et al. 1990; Mackenbach 1992; Stronks 1997; Tuinstra 1998). People with low socio-economic status (SES) may exhibit more HRB, and as a consequence suffer from worse health in comparison with high SES people. Several authors have confirmed socio-economic differences in HRB among adolescents (Pietilä et al. 1995; Green et al. 1991; Bergström 1996; Karvonen & Rimpelä 1996; Lowry et al. 1996; Piko 2000) but several do not (Donato et al. 1994; Glendinning et al. 1994; Donato et al. 1995; Shucksmith et al. 1997; Tuinstra et al. 1998; Challier et al. 2000). The highest occupational group of the parents, the highest educational level of the parents and type of school were inversely related to daily smoking among Swedish adolescents (Bergström 1996). No significant socio-economic differences were found with regard to physical activity (Bergström 1996). High SES (father's occupation, mother's education, mother's employment status) of a family was connected with healthy habits (concerning smoking, drinking, physical exercise) among Finnish male adolescents (Pietilä 1995). The highest occupational group of parents, family type, and type of the adolescent's school were strongly related to smoking, alcohol use, and (lack of) physical exercise among Finnish adolescents (Karvonen & Rimpelä 1996). In the case of drinking, however, the adolescents whose fathers had higher SES used alcohol to a somewhat greater extent than the others did. Prevalence of smoking, episodic heavy drinking, (lack of) physical exercise and also clustering of HRB were inversely related to SES based on parent's education and family income among adolescents in the USA (Lowry et al. 1996). Scottish adolescents from lower (non-manual) social class households were most likely to smoke and drink (Green et al. 1991). The type of school itself appeared to have a strong

effect on the occurrence of smoking, drinking, and drug use among Hungarian adolescents (Piko 2000). The school, its setting, organisational structures, activities and atmosphere may influence health risk behaviour in adolescents. Considerable influence may also be attributed to classrooms, which are important arenas for peer group formation and friendship relations.

On the other hand, Glendinning et al. (1994) did not find support for socio-economic differences (father's occupational class and parent's education) in smoking among Scottish adolescents. In a similar setting, Shucksmith et al. (1997) did not find adolescents' drinking behaviour related to SES based on the highest occupational group of parents or parents' post-school education. The occupational class and education of parents were not associated with smoking and alcohol consumption among Italian adolescents either (Donato et al. 1994; Donato et al. 1995). Smoking, alcohol consumption, and drug use were not found to be related to the highest occupational group of parents among French adolescents (Challier et al. 2000). Tuinstra et al. (1998) did not confirm consistent socio-economic differences in Dutch adolescents' smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, (lack of) physical exercise, and frequency of HRB.

There are larger numbers of adolescents with risky attitudes than adolescents with risky behaviour (Geckova et al. 2001), and we can suppose that behaviour related to these attitudes will grow with age in these adolescents. Attitudes are the number one target in preventive programmes.

In our article we try to explore whether there are any socio-economic differences in HRB among Slovak adolescents. SES indicators are based both on parents (highest occupational group of parents, highest education of parents) and on adolescents (type of school). We focus our attention on smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, and lack of physical exercise. As well as these, socio-economic differences in attitudes toward smoking are also explored.

## Material and methods

### *Procedure and respondents*

Data were collected in 1998. The school-based sample consisted of 2 616 first year students of 31 secondary schools located in Kosice (52.4% boys, 47.6% girls, and mean age 15 years, STD: 0.62). Attending school is compulsory at this age. The sample was stratified according to gender and types of secondary schools, and the proportions of the five educational levels of the regular Slovak school system were maintained. Individual schools were selected at random. Our sample is representative for the Slovak adolescent popula-

tion. The data were gained through self-reported questionnaires which included several measures of SES and health. Respondents completed the questionnaire at school in their classrooms, under the guidance of the field workers. The response rate was 96.3%; the non-response was due to illness and other types of absence. The average occurrence of missing values was 1.1%.

### *Measures of SES*

In our survey of socio-economic differences in HRB, we use two types of socio-economic indicators. The first one is based on parents: the highest education of the parents and the highest occupational class of the parents. The second one is based on adolescents: the type of school they attend.

*Highest education of parents:* This measure is based on asking adolescents about their father's and mother's highest successfully completed level of education. Parents' educational level was classified as: I. university (21.8%), II. secondary high school (50.4%), III. vocational or primary school only (25.4%). We combined the categories of vocational education (24.1%) and primary school (1.2%) to minimise the problem of small denominators. The characteristic of the parent with the higher level of education was used for classification.

*Highest occupational class of parents:* This measure is based on asking adolescents about their father's and mother's current occupation, or their last occupation if they were currently unemployed. This information was then transformed into the 9 categories of ISCO (Federálny štatistický úrad 1992; Statistický úrad Slovenskej republiky 1993). Finally, some categories were combined to minimise the problem of small denominators. According to the classification used, 27.7% of adolescents came from families belonging to the occupational classes I. legislators, senior officials and managers, and II. professionals; 50.1% adolescents came from families belonging to the occupational classes III. technicians and associated professionals, IV. clerks, and V. service workers and shop and market sales workers; and 22.3% adolescents came from families belonging to the occupational classes VI. skilled agricultural and fishery workers, VII. craft and related trades workers, VIII. plant and machine operators and assemblers and IX. elementary occupations. The characteristic of the parent with the higher occupational class was used for classification.

*Type of school:* Adolescents were divided according to the type of school they attended into three groups: grammar school students (21.8%), secondary technical school students (42.4%), and apprentice school students (35.7%).

### Measures of health-related behaviour

Data were obtained through a questionnaire created on the basis of a Dutch study (Stronks 1997). Four types of HRB were explored: smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, and (lack of) physical exercise. In order to measure smoking experience and smoking frequency, the adolescents were asked if they had ever smoked cigarettes (1 “no, never”, 2 “yes, I have tried”, 3 “sometimes I smoke, but not daily”, 4 “I have been smoking daily for some time now”); and how many cigarettes they smoke daily (1 “I do not smoke”, 2 “not more than one cigarette per day”, 3 “two to five cigarettes per day”, 4 “six to 10 cigarettes per day”, 5 “11 to 15 cigarettes per day”, 6 “16 and more”). Experience with alcohol consumption and frequency of alcohol consumption were explored by asking adolescents if they drank alcohol sometimes (1 “no, never”, 2 “yes, but only low-alcohol drinks”, 3 “yes, sometimes I drink a glass of spirits”) and how many times they had drunk alcohol during the previous month (1 “not once”, 2 “once or twice”, 3 “three to five times”, 4 “six to 10 times”, 5 “10 times and more”). Adolescents were also asked if they had ever used marijuana or hash (1 “no, never”, 2 “I have used it once”, 3 “I use it sometimes, no more than once a month”, 4 “I use it regularly, more than once a month”) and how often they did sports (1 “daily”, 2 “at least two to three times per week”, 3 “less than two to three times per week”, 4 “I do not do any sport”). Only sporting activities longer than 20 minutes were considered, and physical education in school was disregarded.

### Measures of attitudes toward smoking

Adolescents were asked to select one of seven statements describing their future smoking behaviour intentions. Choices were divided into three groups: 1 “low risk” (I think I will never start smoking. I smoked in the past, but I have stopped.), 2 “medium risk” (I’m just trying to stop smoking. I think I will stop smoking. I have no idea.), and 3 “high risk” (I think I will start smoking sometime, maybe later. I think I will smoke all my life.).

### Statistical analysis

The analyses were done using the statistical software package SPSS, version 7.5.2. Gender differences in HRB and attitudes towards smoking were explored using the Mann-Whitney test. Socio-economic differences in HRB and attitudes towards smoking were explored separately in boys and girls using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Analyses were computed separately for each indicator of SES (highest occupational class of parents, highest educational level of parents, type of school), HRB

(smoking experience, smoking frequency, alcohol experience, alcohol consumption frequency, drug experience, sports activity frequency) and attitudes towards smoking.

### Results

Only 26.7% of boys and 42.0% of girls had had no experience with smoking, while the remaining adolescents had already tried smoking or smoked regularly. One third of boys and one fifth of girls smoked daily. One third of adolescents reported they did not drink alcohol, but nearly one half reported they used to drink beer or wine, and the rest of them reported they also used to drink a glass of spirits occasionally. During the previous month, 52.3% of the boys and 59.6% of the girls had not drunk alcohol; 33.5% of the boys and 31.6% of the girls had drunk alcohol once or two times; and 14.2% of the boys and 8.7% of the girls had drunk alcohol three times and more. Experiences with marijuana or hash were reported by 8.7% of the boys and 5.6% of the girls. 10.2% of the boys and 26.3% of the girls reported they did not do any sport, and 21.0% of the boys and 35.5% of the girls reported they did sport less than two or three times per week. Sufficient frequency of physical exercise was reported by 68.8% of the boys and 38.8% of the girls. 57.9% of the boys and 64.4% of the girls reported a low-risk attitude towards smoking, while 10.1% of the boys and 6.7% of the girls reported a high-risk attitude towards smoking. Medium-risk attitudes towards smoking in the future were reported by one third of the adolescents. Our findings about smoking, alcohol consumption, and drug use are more unfavourable among boys in comparison with girls. In contrast, findings about (lack of) exercise were more unfavourable among girls compared with boys. Mean scores of HRB and attitudes towards smoking are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1** Gender differences in HRB and attitudes towards smoking

		Mean score	Sig.
Smoking experience (1–4)	male	2,16	***0,000
	female	1,85	
Frequency of smoking (1–6)	male	1,72	***0,000
	female	1,41	
Alcohol consumption experience (1–3)	male	1,85	***0,002
	female	1,76	
Frequency of alcohol consumption (1–5)	male	1,68	***0,000
	female	1,52	
Drug-using experience (1–4)	male	1,12	***0,002
	female	1,07	
Frequency of sporting (1–4)	male	2,11	***0,000
	female	2,77	
Attitudes towards smoking (1–3)	male	1,52	***0,000
	female	1,42	

Significant socio-economic differences were found in smoking experience, frequency of smoking, and attitudes toward smoking, except for females when the highest education level of parents was used as an indicator of SES. The differences were also partially found in alcohol consumption experience (parents' occupation only in females), and frequency of alcohol consumption (parents' occupation, in females also parents' education, type of school). Prevalence of drug-using experience was related to highest occupational group of parents and in females also to type of school. Socio-economic differences in frequency of sporting were confirmed in both males and females when parents' occupation was used as an SES indicator, and in females when parents' education or type of school was used. Our findings are presented in Table 2.

Except for the frequency of alcohol consumption among females, when parents' education or type of school were used as SES indicator, the pattern of socio-economic differences in HRB was unfavourable for lower SES groups. Lower SES adolescents behave more riskily in comparison with higher SES adolescents. The pattern of socio-economic differences in alcohol consumption frequency among females is contrary: higher SES adolescents behave more riskily in comparison with lower SES adolescents. It should be pointed out however that this difference is of borderline statistical significance.

## Discussion

In this paper we explore the relationship between SES and smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, (lack of) physical exercise, and attitudes towards smoking among Slovak adolescents. According to our findings, the occurrence of HRB,

and attitudes towards HRB are strongly related to SES based on parents' occupation. Findings are unfavourable for lower SES groups of adolescents. With some exceptions related to alcohol consumption, drug use, and physical exercise, we found similar findings using SES indicators based on the education of parents or adolescents.

We have encountered similar findings also in West European studies. Socio-economic differences in smoking (Pietilä et al. 1995; Green et al. 1991; Bergström et al. 1996; Karvonen & Rimpelä 1996; Lowry et al. 1996; Piko 2000), alcohol consumption (Pietilä et al. 1995; Green et al. 1991; Karvonen & Rimpelä 1996; Lowry et al. 1996; Piko 2000), drug use (Piko 2000), lack of physical exercise (Pietilä et al. 1995; Karvonen & Rimpelä 1996; Lowry et al. 1996; Tuinstra 1998), and frequency of risk-taking behaviour (Lowry et al. 1996), are unfavourable for the lower SES group of adolescents. On the other hand some findings do not support the existence of socio-economic differences in smoking (Glendinning et al. 1994; Tuinstra et al. 1998; Challier et al. 2000), alcohol consumption (Donato et al. 1994; Donato et al. 1995; Shucksmith et al. 1997; Tuinstra et al. 1998), drug use (Tuinstra et al. 1998), lack of physical exercise (Bergström et al. 1996), and frequency of risk-taking behaviour (Tuinstra et al. 1998). Exceptions from class patterning in alcohol consumption were reported also by West (1988), Mackenbach (1992) and Tuinstra et al. (1998).

There are several possible reasons for discrepancies in findings related to socio-economic differences in HRB among the mentioned studies. The reasons could be related to:

A) The socio-cultural context: Cross-cultural studies of HRB among adolescents report great differences in the patterns of HRB and gender differences in HRB, but also in socio-economic differences in HRB between particular

**Table 2** Socio-economic differences in HRB and attitudes toward HRB. Mean scores and significance of socio-economic differences in HRB

		Smoking experience (1-4)		Frequency of smoking (1-6)		Alcohol consump. experience (1-3)		Frequency of alcohol consump. (1-5)		Drug-using experience (1-4)		Frequency of sporting (1-4)		Attitudes towards smoking (1-3)	
		m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f
		sig.		sig.		sig.		sig.		sig.		sig.		sig.	
Highest education of parents	university	1.92	1.76	1.48	1.30	1.80	1.77	1.69	1.62	1.15	1.07	2.03	2.68	1.43	1.46
	secondary	2.24	1.86	1.76	1.42	1.86	1.77	1.69	1.50	1.11	1.07	2.14	2.77	1.53	1.39
	vocational	2.29	1.88	1.89	1.48	1.87	1.72	1.66	1.46	1.10	1.05	2.14	2.88	1.61	1.44
	sig.	0.000	0.374	0.000	0.130	0.321	0.204	0.610	0.043	0.797	0.802	0.204	0.024	0.003	0.243
Highest occup. class of parents	high SES	2.04	1.68	1.61	1.27	1.78	1.61	1.60	1.38	1.08	1.04	1.91	2.62	1.37	1.28
	medium SES	2.10	1.80	1.63	1.36	1.83	1.77	1.65	1.53	1.10	1.06	2.11	2.77	1.51	1.41
	low SES	2.35	2.06	1.92	1.61	1.90	1.87	1.80	1.62	1.16	1.08	2.23	2.91	1.64	1.57
	sig.	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.117	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.054	0.149	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Type of school	grammar	1.72	1.64	1.29	1.19	1.81	1.76	1.69	1.58	1.12	1.04	2.05	2.70	1.36	1.37
	technical	2.07	1.81	1.52	1.34	1.81	1.72	1.65	1.48	1.07	1.06	2.13	2.74	1.47	1.40
	apprentice	2.46	2.08	2.11	1.73	1.89	1.82	1.71	1.52	1.16	1.10	2.13	2.90	1.64	1.51
	sig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.149	0.101	0.741	0.041	0.000	0.014	0.692	0.009	0.000	0.001

countries (King et al. 1996; Hibbel et al. 1997; Currie et al. 2000). The pattern of socio-economic differences will be more clear in those kinds of HRB which are more prevalent in the explored population. Some of the countries are more "risky" compared with others regarding socio-economic differences.

B) Differences in measurements of SES used: It is very difficult to find comparable measurements of SES. In general it is very difficult to measure SES, particularly in Central Europe, because the socio-economic stratification is not clear and is rapidly changing.

C) Differences in the measurement of HRB used.

D) Differences in the samples (e.g., age, socio-demographic characteristic of sample).

As we have pointed out in previous papers, there are socio-economic differences in health among Slovak adolescents (Geckova et al. 2000b; Geckova et al. 2001). Findings are similar when we use more than one indicator of SES and more than one health indicator. HRB is explored as a factor which can contribute to the presence of SEHD in adolescence. Smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, lack of physical activity, and coincidence of HRB affect the health of Slovak adolescents in an unfavourable way (Geckova et al. 2000a). This means that HRB already affects health at this age. The onset of smoking and alcohol consumption is very early. According to Hibbel et al. (1997) 11 % boys and

4 % girls in Slovakia start smoking before they are 13 years old. Similarly, 58 % boys and 45 % girls drink alcohol and 16 % boys and 7 % girls experience drunkenness before reaching that age. When they are 15 years old it seems to be that there are also already-established socio-economic differences in HRB. To be a member of a particular SES group means not only to have better or restricted access to information, amenities, resources, and power, but also to be a participant in a social environment with relevant norms, rules, pressures, life style, and attitudes. The HRB of low SES adolescents may well be tolerated and encouraged by their social environment. De Vries (1995) investigated socio-economic differences in the determinants of adolescents' smoking behaviour, and found that low-SES adolescents have a more positive (undesirable) attitude towards smoking. They experience greater pressure to smoke and perceive stronger social norms towards smoking from parents, relatives, friends, and doctors. Generally they live in a social environment in which more smoking goes on, and show lower self-efficacy in comparison with high-SES adolescents. Norman et al. (1999) found low-SES parents using smoking bans at home less frequently in comparison with high-SES parents. Ultimately it seems to be that HRB is more frequent in low SES groups and contributes to bad health in low SES groups.

---

## Zusammenfassung

### Sozioökonomische Unterschiede in gesundheitlichem Risikoverhalten und Einstellung zum Risikoverhalten bei slowakischen Jugendlichen

**Ziele:** Sozioökonomische Unterschiede bei den Häufigkeiten von Rauchen, Alkohol- und Drogengebrauch, körperlicher Aktivität und der Einstellung zum Rauchen wurden in einer Stichprobe slowakischer Jugendlichen untersucht (1 370 Knaben, 1 246 Mädchen, Alter im Mittel: 15 Jahre).

**Methoden:** Der sozialökonomische Status wurde mit drei Indikatoren gemessen: der höchste Ausbildungsgrad der Eltern, die höchste berufliche Stellung der Eltern und der von den Jugendlichen besuchte Schultyp.

**Resultate:** Gesundheitsbezogenes Verhalten korrelierte stark mit drei Indikatoren des sozialökonomischen Status, obwohl es auch einige Ausnahmen gab in Bezug auf Ausbildungsgrad und Erfahrungen mit Alkohol und Drogen. Das Muster der sozioökonomischen Unterschiede war ungünstig für die unteren sozioökonomischen Schichten, ausser Unterschiede in Häufigkeit von Alkoholkonsum der Frauen, wenn der höchste Ausbildungsgrad der Eltern als Indikator für den sozialökonomischen Status im Modell aufgenommen war.

**Schlussfolgerungen:** Es gibt sozioökonomische Unterschiede beim gesundheitsbezogenen Risikoverhalten. Jugendliche aus den unteren sozioökonomischen Schichten verhalten sich risikoreicher.

## Resumé

### Diférences socioéconomiques de comportement de risque pour la santé concernant le risque de la santé entre adolescents Slovaques

**Objectif:** On a examiné les différences socioéconomiques de la fréquence de fumer, de la consommation d'alcool, de l'usage de drogues, de l'exercice physique et d'attitudes par rapport au tabagisme dans un échantillon d'adolescents Slovaques (1 370 garçons, 1 246 filles, âge moyen: 15 ans).

**Méthodes:** La position socioéconomique est basée sur trois indicateurs: le plus haut niveau d'éducation des parents, la plus haute classe professionnelle des parents et le genre d'école que les adolescents suivent.

**Résultats:** Le comportement à risque pour la santé est fort associé à la position socioéconomique, basé sur les trois indicateurs socioéconomiques, bien qu'il y ait quelques exceptions, le plus souvent liées à l'éducation comme indicateur de la position socioéconomique et à l'expérience de la consommation d'alcool et l'expérience de l'usage de drogues. Les caractéristiques des différences socioéconomique étaient défavorables pour les adolescents qui appartiennent aux groupes socioéconomiques inférieures, excepté les différences de la fréquence de la consommation d'alcool entre filles lorsque l'éducation des parents était utilisée comme indicateur de la position socioéconomique.

**Conclusion:** Il y a des différences socioéconomiques de comportement de risque pour la santé. Les adolescents qui appartiennent aux groupes socioéconomiques bas ont des comportements plus à risque que les autres.

## References

- Bergström E, Hernell O, Persson LA (1996). Cardiovascular risk indicators cluster in girls from families of low socio-economic status. *Acta Paediatr* 85: 1083–90.
- Challier B, Chau N, Prédine R, Choquet M, Legras B (2000). Associations of family environment and individual factors with tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use in adolescents. *Eu J Epidemiol* 16: 33–42.
- Currie C, Hurrelmann K, Settertobulte W, Smith R, Todd J (2000). Health and health behaviour among young people: health behaviour in school-aged children: a WHO Cross-National Study (HSC): international report. Geneva: WHO. (WHO policy series; 1).
- De Vries H (1995). Socio-economic differences in smoking: Dutch adolescents' beliefs and behaviour. *Soc Sci Med* 41: 419–24.
- Donato F, Monarca S, Chiesa R, Feretti D, Modolo MA, Nardi G (1995). Patterns and covariates of alcohol drinking among high school students in 10 towns in Italy: a cross-sectional study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 37: 59–69.
- Donato F, Monarca S, Chiesa R, Feretti D, Nardi G (1994). Smoking among high school students in 10 Italian towns: patterns and covariates. *Int J Addict* 29: 1537–57.
- Federálny štatistický úrad (1992). Jednotná sústava sociálno-ekonomických klasifikácií časť 7. Klasifikácia zamestnaní (ISCO I). 1 ed. Bratislava: ŠEVT.
- Geckova A, Pudelský M, Tuinstra J, Kovárová M, van Dijk JP (2001). Výskyt so zdravím súvisiaceho správania u adolescentov (Prevalence of health-related behaviour in adolescents). *Hygiena* 46: 10–23.
- Geckova A, Pudelský M, Tuinstra J, van Dijk JP (2000a). Výlyv fajčenia, konzumovania alkoholu, užívania drog a nedostatku fyzickej aktivity na zdravie adolescentov (Influence of smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, and lack of physical activity on health). *Cesk Psychol* 44: 132–47.
- Geckova A, Pudelský M, Tuinstra J, van Dijk JP, Kovárová M (2000b). Socio-ekonomické nerovnosti v zdraví u adolescentov: vz'ah medzi vzdelaním rodičov a výskytom subjektívnych zdravotných ťažkostí adolescentov (Socio-economic inequalities in the health of adolescents: relationship between parents' education and the prevalence of self-reported health problems of adolescents). *Cesk Pediatr* 55: 87–95.
- Glendinning A, Shucksmith J, Hendry LB (1994). Social class and adolescent smoking behaviour. *Soc Sci Med* 38: 1449–60.
- Green G, Macintyre S, West P, Ecob R (1991). Like parent like child? Associations between drinking and smoking behaviour of parents and their children. *Br J Addict* 86: 745–58.
- Hemmingson T, Lundberg I, Diderichsen F (1999). The roles of social class of origin, achieved social class and intergenerational social mobility in explaining social-class inequalities in alcoholism among young men. *Soc Sci Med* 49: 1051–9.
- Hibbel B, Andersson B, Bjarnason T, Kokkevi A, Morgan M, Narusk A (1997). The 1995 European School Survey Project on alcohol and other drugs. Stockholm: Modin Tryck AB.
- Holmen TL, Barrett-Connor E, Holmen J, Bjerner L (2000). Health problems in teenage daily smokers versus nonsmokers, Norway, 1995–1997: the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 151: 148–55.
- Karvonen S, Rimpelä A (1996). Socio-regional context as a determinant of adolescents' health behaviour in Finland. *Soc Sci Med* 43: 1467–74.
- King A, Wold B, Tudor-Smith C, Harrel X (1996). The health of youth: a cross-national survey, developmental patterns of behaviours and attitudes. Copenhagen: WHO. (WHO regional publications: European series; no. 69).
- Lowry R, Kann L, Collins J, Kolbe LJ (1996). The effect of socio-economic status on chronic disease risk behaviors among US adolescents. *JAMA* 276: 792–7.
- Mackenbach JP (1992). Socio-economic health differences in The Netherlands: a review of recent empirical findings. *Soc Sci Med* 34: 213–26.
- Norman GJ, Ribisl KM, Howard-Pitney B, Howard KA (1999). Smoking bans in the home and car: do those who really need them have them? *Prev Med* 29: 581–9.
- Oja P (1997). Health-related physical activity and fitness among European children and adolescents: nutrition and fitness: evolutionary aspects, children's health, programs and policies *World Rev Nutr Diet* 81: 98–104.
- Pietilä AM, Hentinen M, Myhrman A (1995). The health behaviour of Northern Finnish men in adolescence and adulthood. *Int J Nurs Stud* 32: 325–38.

*Piko B* (2000). Perceived social support from parents and peers: which is the stronger predictor of adolescent substance use? *Subst Use Misuse* 35: 617–30.

*Poikolainen K, Kanerva R, Lönnqvist J* (1995). Life events and other risk factors for somatic symptoms in adolescence. *Pediatrics* 96: 59–63.

*Rahkonen O, Ahlstrom S, Karvonen S* (1993). Drinking, self-reported health and strenuous lifestyle among young people in Finland. *Addict Res* 1: 169–76.

*Shucksmith J, Glendinning A, Hendry LB* (1997). Adolescent drinking behaviour and the role of family life: a Scottish perspective. *J Adolesc* 20: 85–101.

*Statistický úrad Slovenskej republiky* (1993). Klasifikácia zamestnaní. *Vysvetlivky (ISCO II)*. Bratislava: ŠEVT.

*Stronks K* (1997). Socio-economic inequalities in health: individual choice or social circumstances? Rotterdam: Erasmus University.

*Tuinstra J* (1998). Health in adolescence: an empirical study of social inequality in health, health risk behaviour and decision making styles. Groningen: Northern Centre for Healthcare Research.

*Tuinstra J, Groothoff JW, van den Heuvel WJA, Post D* (1998). Socio-economic differences in health risk behaviour in adolescence: do they exist? *Soc Sci Med* 47: 67–74.

*Twisk JWR, van Mechelen W, Kemper HCG, Post GB* (1997). The relation between “long-term exposure” to lifestyle during youth and young adulthood and risk factors for cardiovascular disease at adult age. *J Adolesc Health* 20: 309–19.

*West P* (1988). Inequalities? Social class differentials in health in British youth. *Soc Sci Med* 27: 291–6.

*West P, Macintyre S, Annandale E, Hunt K* (1990). Social class and health in youth: findings from the West of Scotland Twenty-07 Study. *Soc Sci Med* 30: 665–73.

---

**Address for correspondence**

**Andrea Madarasova Geckova**  
**Park Angelinum 6**  
**040 01 Kosice**  
**Slovak Republik**  
**Tel.: +421 907 421 353**  
**Fax: +421 55 62 22 124**

**e-mail: geckova@kosice.upjs.sk**



To access this journal online:  
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>

---