

# Long-term dynamics in physical activity behaviour across the transition to parenthood

Francisco Perales · Jesus del Pozo-Cruz ·  
Borja del Pozo-Cruz

Received: 20 October 2014/Revised: 30 December 2014/Accepted: 8 January 2015/Published online: 21 January 2015  
© Swiss School of Public Health 2015

## Abstract

**Objectives** Gaining a deeper understanding of the dynamic relationships between the transition to parenthood and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) amongst men and women in Australia.

**Methods** 12 years of nationally representative panel data from the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia Survey and a piecewise pre/post fixed-effect modelling strategy that controls for person-specific unobserved effects and allows for non-linear associations are used.

**Results** Both men and women decrease the frequency of MVPA in the years preceding the birth of their first child. An abrupt fall amongst men and a smooth, non-linear decreasing trend amongst women are apparent in the post-birth years. Neither men nor women recover their pre-birth MVPA frequency in subsequent years.

**Conclusions** Parenthood is an important factor influencing MVPA, with more marked impacts on women's than men's behaviour. Policymakers interested in promoting MVPA should focus on parenthood as a critical deterring

factor that can trigger long-term trends of low MVPA. The proposed model can be expanded to explore the influence on MVPA of other life-course transitions.

**Keywords** Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity · Life-course transitions · Parenthood · Gender · Health promotion · Prospective analysis

## Introduction

Undertaking regular Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity (MVPA) has well-established health and well-being benefits (Egger et al. 2001; Mummery et al. 2004; Perales et al. 2014a, b), while physical inactivity and sedentarism are amongst the top causes for disease, disability and mortality across the globe (Waxman 2004). Additionally, lack of physical activity has been related to increasing individual- and societal-level healthcare costs (Blair 2009). It is thus highly relevant for academics and policymakers alike to gain a deep understanding of the factors that promote or deter participation in this sort of activity. We know that MVPA not only differs across individuals with different socio-demographic profiles, but also within individuals over the life course (Azevedo et al. 2007; Brown and Roberts 2011; Hansen et al. 2014; Mann et al. 2013; Osler et al. 2001; Parks et al. 2003).

There is increasing recognition that life-course events play a key role in promoting or deterring MVPA, with most of the attention focused on work-related transitions (Barnett et al. 2014; Dai et al. 2014; Engberg et al. 2012), such as the retirement transition (Henkens et al. 2008; Zantinge et al. 2014). There is also some research on other major life events, including relationship transitions (Eng et al. 2005)

---

F. Perales  
ARC Centre of Excellence for Children and Families over the  
Life Course, Institute for Social Science Research, The  
University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

J. del Pozo-Cruz  
Department of Physical Education and Sports, University  
of Seville, Seville, Spain

B. del Pozo-Cruz (✉)  
Department of Sport and Exercise Science, University of  
Auckland, Building 731 room 340. Tamaki Innovation Campus,  
261 Morrill Rd, St Johns, Auckland Private Bag 92019,  
Auckland, New Zealand  
e-mail: b.delpozocruz@auckland.ac.nz

or the onset of certain health conditions (Oman and King 2000).

We focus on a life-course transition that has so far been relatively neglected: parenthood. The transition to parenthood is a major life-course transition that (i) is experienced sooner or later by a majority of people and (ii) has a transformative effect on people's lives by shifting goals, identity and resources such as time and income. Additionally, sociological research has demonstrated that the transition to parenthood is associated with the onset of gender inequalities in, amongst others, paid and unpaid work, leisure and sleep time, and mental health (Baxter et al. 2008; Budig and England 2001; Craig and Mullan 2013; Kaufman and Uhlenberg 2000; Singley and Hynes 2005; Wheaton 1990).

Few studies have examined the relationships between parenthood and MVPA, with contradictory results. Some report that MVPA decreases before and after the birth of a child (Brown et al. 2009; Pereira et al. 2007) with a slight rebound postpartum (Pereira et al. 2007), whereas others report no difference in MVPA between parents and non-parents and for individuals who move into parenthood (Blum et al. 2004; Treuth et al. 2005). Most of these studies use cross-sectional or short-span longitudinal data, and so fail to examine long-term dynamics in MVPA across the transition to parenthood. Taking a longitudinal approach is important because it can inform more targeted and appropriately timed strategies to prevent losses in MVPA associated with entry into parenthood.

In this paper, we examine the frequency of MVPA for men and women in the years leading to and following from the transition to parenthood using a large, nationally representative, Australian, panel study. In doing so, we deploy a piecewise pre/post fixed-effect modelling strategy that (i) accounts for person-specific unobserved confounders, (ii) provides policy-relevant insights into long-term time dynamics and (iii) can be extended to the analysis of other life-course transitions.

## Methods

To examine the effects on MVPA of the transition to parenthood we exploit the properties of the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey, a large, nationally representative, panel dataset. For more information about the survey properties, see Watson and Wooden (2012). For 12 consecutive years (2001–2012), the HILDA Survey has collected information on the weekly frequency of MVPA undertaken by respondents within a self-completion questionnaire item that reads: “In general, how often do you participate in moderate or intensive physical activity for at least 30 min?

Moderate level physical activity will cause a slight increase in breathing and heart rate, such as brisk walking”. Possible responses include: [0] ‘Not at all’, [1] ‘Less than once a week’, [2] ‘1 or 2 times a week’, [3] ‘Three times a week’, [4] ‘More than 3 times a week (but not every day)’ and [5] ‘Everyday’.

Our analytical sample comprises 61,968 yearly observations from 11,267 men and 70,642 yearly observations from 12,284 women who are over 18 years of age. The distribution of the MVPA variable by sex is shown in Fig. 1. A majority of respondents cluster in the middle categories, especially “1 or 2 times a week” and “More than 3 times a week (but not every day)”, but men are on average more physically active than women in Australia.


Our interest is on changes in the frequency of MVPA across the transition to parenthood. We derive several analytical variables of increasing complexity tapping into different dimensions of this important life-course transition. First, we create a simple ‘parenthood’ dummy variable that takes the value 1 if individuals have been observed to become parents in any previous wave of the panel, and the value 0 otherwise. Second, for those who are observed to become parents, we construct two continuous measures counting the number of years before the first birth and the number of years after. Third, we develop quadratic and cubic terms of these two variables to capture non-linear trajectories in MVPA before and after the birth of the first child.

To model the relationships between MVPA and the transition to parenthood in a multivariate setting we use fixed-effect models for panel data. These have the advantage over traditional cross-sectional designs that they account by design for any unobserved time-constant person-specific factors that might confound the associations of interest. This is accomplished by estimating how deviations from individuals' usual behaviour relate to deviations from their usual outcomes - see Allison (2009) and Wooldridge (2010) for further methodological detail, and Perales et al. (2014a; b) for applications in the context of MVPA. Formally, the models take the form

$$\text{MVPA}_{po} - \overline{\text{MVPA}}_p = a + (X_{po} - \overline{X}_p)b + (Z_p - \overline{Z}_p)c + (e_{po} - \overline{e}_p) + (u_p - \overline{u}_p), \quad (1)$$

where subscripts  $p$  and  $o$  refer to the person and observation period respectively, the horizontal bar ( $\overline{\quad}$ ) refers to the mean value, MVPA is a measure of the weekly frequency of MVPA,  $X$  represents time-changing explanatory variables (including variables on parenthood),  $Z$  represents time-constant explanatory variables,  $b$  and  $c$  are coefficient vectors,  $e$  is the usual stochastic error term in regression and  $u$  refers to person-specific idiosyncratic unobserved factors affecting MVPA.

**Fig. 1** Frequency of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) by gender, Australia (HILDA Survey 2001–2012)



Application of the within-person transformation by subtracting person means from person-year observations, as done above, averages out the  $Z$  and  $u$  terms from Eq. (1), which simplifies to

$$MVPA_{po} - \overline{MVPA}_p = a + (X_{po} - \overline{X}_p)b + (e_{po} - e_p). \tag{2}$$

This enables estimation of the effects of the  $X$  variables on MVPA while controlling for  $u$ , which minimizes omitted variable bias.

This model requires repeated observations from the same individuals for at least two time periods, and explanatory variables that change over time. This is because the predicted impacts are estimated using only information from individuals who experience change in their circumstances over the life of the panel. For example, only individuals who become parents for the first time over the observation window ( $n = 1,767$ ) contribute to the estimation of the predicted impacts on the explanatory variables capturing aspects of the transition to parenthood.

Note also that despite MVPA being an ordered variable and fixed-effect models for ordered responses being possible (see e.g. our previous work, Perales et al. 2014b), in this paper we will treat MVPA as a continuous variable ranging from 0 to 5. This is because doing so substantially simplifies estimation, interpretation and graphical representation of the results. This course of action is validated by the fact that (i) the variable is roughly normally distributed, (ii) the errors in the regression are also normally distributed, (iii) none of the 132,610 predicted values falls

outside the admissible 0–5 range, and (iv) sensitivity checks using more complex ordered fixed-effect models give very similar results.

Variables known to influence MVPA are used as control variables in our models. They include age, age square (to capture non-linear age trends in physical activity over the life course); marital status (married or de facto, single, divorced, separated or widowed); highest educational qualification (low or no education, school year 12, professional certificate, University degree); employment status (employed in white-collar occupation, employed in blue-collar occupation, unemployed, inactive); living alone; total weekly work hours (the sum of domestic and paid work hours); annual household income; self-assessed health (on a scale of 1 to 5); having a long-term health condition, disability or impairment; and being a smoker. Means/percentages and standard deviations for these variables are shown in Table 1.

**Results**

We first examine raw means of MVPA across the transition to parenthood for those men and women who are observed to have children over the life of the HILDA Survey panel. The results are presented in the top left-hand side of Fig. 2. Men undertake more frequent MVPA than women. Both men and women decrease the frequency of MVPA in the years immediately preceding and following the birth of the first child, with a slight recovery becoming apparent from

**Table 1** Sample descriptive statistics, Australia (HILDA Survey 2001–2012)

	Men		Women	
	Mean/%	SD	Mean/%	SD
Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity	2.72	1.56	2.43	1.52
Parenthood	70 %		65 %	
Age	45.64	17.07	45.73	17.36
Marital status				
Married or de facto	70 %		65 %	
Single	21 %		17 %	
Divorced, separated or widowed	9 %		18 %	
Lives alone	15 %		16 %	
Highest educational qualification				
Low or no education	26 %		36 %	
Year 12	15 %		17 %	
Professional certificate	38 %		23 %	
University degree	22 %		24 %	
Employment status				
Employed in white-collar occupation	38 %		46 %	
Employed in blue-collar occupation	35 %		14 %	
Unemployed	3 %		3 %	
Inactive	24 %		38 %	
Total weekly work hours (in 10 s)	3.74	2.23	3.53	1.99
Annual household income (in 10,000 s)	8.37	6.37	7.86	6.23
Self-assessed health (1–5)	3.39	0.97	3.37	0.96
Long-term health condition	27 %		27 %	
Smoker	24 %		19 %	
<i>n</i> (observations)	61,968		70,642	
<i>n</i> (individuals)	11,267		12,284	

3 years after the parenthood event onward. Thus, in the raw data, parenthood brings about a reduction in the frequency of MVPA for men and women from even before the birth of the child, with evidence of non-linear associations.


We now estimate the trend while controlling for observable and unobservable confounding factors using panel fixed-effect regression models. The predicted impacts are presented in Table 2. A first, simple way to accomplish this is to use a dichotomous ‘parenthood’ indicator (Model 1). There is a statistically significant decrease in MVPA with parenthood amongst men ( $b = -0.282$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and an even more pronounced fall amongst women ( $b = -0.440$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) when the first child is born. The predicted effect is shown in the top right-hand side of Fig. 2. This approach is nevertheless restrictive and ignores the time dimensions of the relationship discussed before.

A better approach is to also include continuous variables capturing the years before and after the transition to parenthood as additional regressors (Model 2). Doing so reveals that not only is there a downward discontinuity in MVPA amongst men ( $b = -0.193$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and women

( $b = -0.250$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) when they become parents, but also a previous and a posterior downward trend. These can be inferred from the negative and statistically significant coefficients on the variables capturing years before the first birth ( $b = -0.029$ ,  $p < 0.01$  for men;  $b = -0.057$ ,  $p < 0.001$  for women) and—for women only—years after the first birth ( $b = -0.031$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). This time trend can be better inferred by inspection of the bottom left-hand side of Fig. 2.

An even more encompassing approach to model these relationships is that undertaken in Model 3, where a quadratic term for the variable ‘years before first birth’ and quadratic and cubic terms for the variable ‘years after first birth’ are added. We also tried a cubic term for the variable ‘years before the first birth’ but the associated coefficient was statistically insignificant for both men and women. For men, the model reveals an abrupt fall in MVPA after the birth of the first child ( $b = -0.116$ ,  $p < 0.1$ ), and a linear downward trend thereafter ( $b = -0.078$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). For women, however, there are no abrupt changes ( $b = 0.025$ ,  $p > 0.1$ ) but rather steeper, smooth and non-linear prior ( $b = -0.170$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ;  $b^2 = -0.012$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and

**Fig. 2** Frequency of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) across the transition to parenthood, Australia (HILDA Survey 2001–2012). Predictions based on model results in Table 2 for a representative individual who is married, is employed in a white-collar occupation, is 30 years old, works 40 h per week, has a University degree, has an annual income of \$80,000, does not live alone, does not have a long-term health condition, does not smoke, and reports a general health score of 3.4



posterior trajectories ( $b = -0.185$   $p < 0.001$ ;  $b^2 = 0.033$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ;  $b^3 = -0.002$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). These time dynamics can be more clearly visualized in the bottom right-hand side of Fig. 2.

Though not the focus of this research, our models also provide insights into the role of other factors in promoting or deterring the frequency of MVPA (Table 1). *Ceteris paribus*, ill health, the presence of a long-term health condition, and being partnered, a smoker, or employed in a white-collar (rather than blue-collar) occupation are all negatively and statistically significantly related to men’s and women’s frequency of MVPA. For both sexes, there is also a concave relationship between age and MVPA, with turning points at 46 and 47 years of age, respectively. Living alone, working more hours and being unemployed (rather than employed in white-collar work) are positive and significantly associated to women’s (but not men’s) MVPA, whereas education and income have no independent effects on neither men nor women.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we have used 12 years of nationally representative panel data from the HILDA Survey and a novel piecewise pre/post fixed-effect modelling strategy to examine the influence of the transition to parenthood on men’s and women’s frequency of MVPA. Our approach has the advantages of being able to (i) control for time-constant unobserved confounders, (ii) unveil long-term dynamic temporal dimensions, (iii) separate effects before,

during and after the parenthood transition, and (iv) allow for non-linear associations. Using this modelling technique, we offer a unique and more nuanced picture of the relationships between parenthood and MVPA. The model we fit is nevertheless data demanding and requires a panel dataset that, as the HILDA Survey: (i) stretches over a long period of time, (ii) collects data reasonably frequently, and (iii) contains observations from a sufficiently large number of people before and after they experience the life-course transition of interest.

A number of interesting findings emerge from our empirical analyses. First, we show that there is a decline in MVPA for men and a most noticeably one for women after they experience the birth of their first child. This is consistent with sociological evidence that women do the lion share of young infants’ care, which reduces the time that they can devote to leisure—including activities that feature MVPA (Craig 2007). Other potential reasons include a higher post-partum prevalence of overweight, tiredness and mobility restrictions amongst women (Evenson et al. 2009). Second, the pattern of change in the frequency of MVPA differs by sex across the transition to parenthood: women experience a smooth declining trend before and after the birth of the first child, whereas men experience an abrupt fall in the year immediately after. This may reflect that birth takes a toll on women’s fitness levels during pregnancy, and men only experience major constraints to their MVPA when the child has arrived. It is also plausible that couples decide to transit to parenthood after they have adopted a more sedentary lifestyle for exogenous reasons. Third, neither men nor women seem to ever return to prior

**Table 2** Predictions from linear fixed-effect models of the weekly frequency of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), Australia (HILDA Survey 2001–2012)

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		p (Wald)
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	
Parenthood	−0.282*** (0.033)	−0.440*** (0.032)	−0.193*** (0.044)	−0.250*** (0.041)	−0.116(*) (0.064)	0.025 (0.058)	9
Years before first birth			−0.029** (0.010)	−0.057*** (0.009)	−0.049(*) (0.030)	−0.170*** (0.027)	9
Years before first birth <sup>2</sup>					−0.002 (0.003)	−0.012*** (0.003)	9
Years after first birth			−0.010 (0.007)	−0.031*** (0.007)	−0.078* (0.038)	−0.185*** (0.035)	9
Years after first birth <sup>2</sup>					0.015 (0.010)	0.033*** (0.009)	9
Years after first birth <sup>3</sup>					−0.001 (0.001)	−0.002** (0.001)	
Age	0.027*** (0.006)	0.068*** (0.005)	0.032*** (0.006)	0.080*** (0.005)	0.032*** (0.006)	0.080*** (0.005)	9
Age <sup>2</sup>	−0.000*** (0.000)	−0.001*** (0.000)	−0.000*** (0.000)	−0.001*** (0.000)	−0.000*** (0.000)	−0.001*** (0.000)	
Married or de facto (ref.)							
Single	0.185*** (0.033)	0.162*** (0.030)	0.170*** (0.034)	0.137*** (0.030)	0.172*** (0.034)	0.143*** (0.030)	
Divorced, separated or widowed	0.098* (0.038)	0.080** (0.029)	0.098* (0.038)	0.085** (0.029)	0.098* (0.038)	0.085** (0.029)	
Lives alone	−0.021 (0.029)	0.065* (0.026)	−0.021 (0.029)	0.060* (0.026)	−0.022 (0.029)	0.057* (0.026)	9
Low or no education (ref.)							
Year 12	−0.002 (0.067)	−0.062 (0.055)	−0.006 (0.067)	−0.072 (0.055)	−0.006 (0.067)	−0.068 (0.055)	
Professional certificate	−0.045 (0.059)	−0.031 (0.043)	−0.050 (0.059)	−0.045 (0.043)	−0.049 (0.059)	−0.045 (0.043)	
University degree	0.023 (0.078)	−0.041 (0.062)	0.018 (0.078)	−0.059 (0.063)	0.017 (0.078)	−0.064 (0.063)	
Employed in white-collar occupation (ref.)							
Employed in blue-collar occupation	0.107*** (0.019)	0.090*** (0.020)	0.107*** (0.019)	0.089*** (0.020)	0.107*** (0.019)	0.091*** (0.020)	
Unemployed	0.020 (0.040)	0.164*** (0.033)	0.020 (0.040)	0.169*** (0.033)	0.020 (0.040)	0.168*** (0.033)	9
Inactive	0.056(*) (0.031)	0.123*** (0.019)	0.056(*) (0.031)	0.123*** (0.019)	0.056(*) (0.031)	0.122*** (0.019)	9
Total weekly work hours (in 10 s)	0.001 (0.005)	0.012** (0.004)	0.001 (0.005)	0.012** (0.004)	0.001 (0.005)	0.012** (0.004)	9
Annual household income (in 10,000 s)	−0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	−0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	−0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Self-assessed health (1–5)	0.291*** (0.009)	0.249*** (0.008)	0.291*** (0.009)	0.249*** (0.008)	0.291*** (0.009)	0.249*** (0.008)	9
Long-term health condition	−0.086*** (0.017)	−0.091*** (0.015)	−0.086*** (0.017)	−0.091*** (0.015)	−0.085*** (0.017)	−0.092*** (0.015)	

**Table 2** continued

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		p (Wald)
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	
Smoker	-0.064** (0.024)	-0.085*** (0.023)	-0.064** (0.024)	-0.087*** (0.023)	-0.065** (0.024)	-0.089*** (0.023)	
n (observations)	61,968	70,642	61,968	70,642	61,968	70,642	
n (individuals)	11,267	12,284	11,267	12,284	11,267	12,284	
Within group R <sup>2</sup>	0.028	0.029	0.028	0.030	0.028	0.031	

Standard errors in parentheses. Significance levels on model coefficients: (\*)  $p < 0.1$ , \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .  $\mathcal{P}$  = the  $p$  value of a Wald test comparing the Model 3 coefficients for men and women is smaller than 0.05

MVPA frequency levels after the birth of the first child, despite a timid recovery between 4 and 8 years after. This suggests that the presence of young children is an important factor deterring MVPA. Overall, we find persistent gender differences—whereby men do more MVPA than women—amongst individuals who become parents, and these exacerbate over time. For example, the predicted difference in mean MVPA between men and women 3 years before the birth of the first child is 0.1 units (on a 0–5 scale), but this grows to 0.5 units by 4 years after the birth of the first child.

Our findings can be used to inform evidence-based policies that capitalize on the unique temporal dimensions that we unveil. First and foremost, policymakers interested in promoting MVPA should turn their attention to parenthood as a critical deterring factor, as becoming a parent can trigger long-term trends of low MVPA. Our findings suggest that particular measures should be taken to encourage MVPA amongst fertile-age women, as they not only tend to undertake less frequent MVPA than men, but also experience more marked reductions due to parenthood. Effective policy measures for both men and women should also target prospective parents, as the fall in MVPA begins prior to the birth of the first child. Finally, policy planners should be wary of basing their decisions on findings from oversimplistic analyses, as these can give an incomplete or even distorted picture of the true relationships.

Despite the powerful features of the panel dataset used, an important limitation of our study is the nature of the information on MVPA available in the HILDA Survey. As previously noted—see Brown and Roberts (2011); Perales et al. (2014a, b), the construct is self-reported and prone to measurement error; does not discriminate moderate from vigorous physical activity; and lacks insights into the duration and domain of the activities undertaken. Of these, it is particularly unfortunate that unlike some recent and influential studies—see Barnett et al. (2014)—we cannot disentangle the dynamic effects of parenthood on different domains of MVPA, including recreational, household,

transport and work-related MVPA, or sedentary behaviour. Additionally, with these data it cannot be tested whether parenthood promotes lighter forms of physical activity.

Nevertheless, our findings and methodological innovation pave the way for emerging research avenues. New research could further our substantive findings by examining factors producing heterogeneity in MVPA outcomes after the parenthood transition. In this paper, we report the overall trend, but it is likely that some new parents see their MVPA levels decline more than others, for instance those who did little MVPA prior to the birth, the lowly educated and those with poor physical or mental health. Models featuring statistical interactions and/or random coefficients could be deployed to accomplish this. Our analytical approach is suitable to be applied to the analysis of the frequency of MVPA across other important life-course transitions that have not yet been examined or only explored using rudimentary techniques, such as retirement or relationship dissolution. The model can also be expanded to explore the influence on MVPA of higher order births through the use of multilevel multi-process models (Haynes et al. 2010).

We conclude by stressing that the transition to parenthood is an important factor influencing MVPA, and has more marked effects on women than men. This is important, as decreasing rates of physical activity across the transition to parenthood not only pose health risks to parents, but can be transmitted to their offspring (Dollman 2010). Modelling strategies that do not allow for longitudinal and non-linear effects provide an incomplete and even distorted picture of the nature of the associations. Understanding MVPA and its gendered dimensions requires turning our attention to the role of long-term life-course trajectories.

**Acknowledgments** We are thankful for useful comments and suggestions by two anonymous referees. This paper uses unit record data from the HILDA Survey. The HILDA Project was initiated and is funded by the Australian Government Department of Social Services (DSS) and is managed by the Melbourne Institute of Applied

Economic and Social Research (Melbourne Institute). The findings and views reported in this paper, however, are those of the authors and should not be attributed to either DSS or the Melbourne Institute.

## References

- Allison P (2009) Fixed Effects Regression Models. Sage, London
- Azevedo MR, Araujo CL, Reichert FF, Siqueira FV, da Silva MC, Hallal PC (2007) Gender differences in leisure-time physical activity. *Int J Public Health* 52:8–15
- Barnett I, van Sluijs E, Ogilvie D, Wareham NJ (2014) Changes in household, transport and recreational physical activity and television viewing time across the transition to retirement: longitudinal evidence from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 68:747–753. doi:10.1136/jech-2013-203225
- Baxter J, Hewitt B, Haynes M (2008) Life course transitions and housework: marriage, parenthood, and time on housework. *J Marriage Fam* 70:259–272. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3737.2008.00479.x
- Blair SN (2009) Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med* 43:1–2
- Blum JW, Beaudoin CM, Caton-Lemos L (2004) Physical activity patterns and maternal well-being in postpartum women. *Matern Child Health J* 8:163–169. doi:10.1023/B:Maci.0000037649.24025.2c
- Brown H, Roberts J (2011) Exercising choice: the economic determinants of physical activity behaviour of an employed population. *Soc Sci Med* 73:383–390. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.06.001
- Brown WJ, Heesch KC, Miller YD (2009) Life Events and Changing Physical Activity Patterns in Women at Different Life Stages. *Ann Behav Med* 37:294–305. doi:10.1007/s12160-009-9099-2
- Budig MJ, England P (2001) The wage penalty for motherhood. *Am Sociol Rev* 66:204–225. doi:10.2307/2657415
- Craig L (2007) Contemporary motherhood: the impact of children on adult time. Ashgate, Aldershot
- Craig L, Mullan K (2013) Parental leisure time: a gender comparison in five countries. *Soc Polit* 20:329–357. doi:10.1093/Sp/Jxt002
- Dai S, Wang F, Morrison H (2014) Predictors of decreased physical activity level over time among adults: a longitudinal study. *Am J Prev Med* 47:123–130. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2014.04.003
- Dollman J (2010) Changing associations of Australian parents' physical activity with their children's sport participation: 1985 to 2004. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 34:578–582. doi:10.1111/j.1753-6405.2010.00617.x
- Egger G, Donovan RJ, Giles-Corti B, Bull F, Swinburn B (2001) Developing national physical activity guidelines for Australians. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 25:561–563
- Eng PM, Kawachi I, Fitzmaurice G, Rimm EB (2005) Effects of marital transitions on changes in dietary and other health behaviours in US male health professionals. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 59:56–62. doi:10.1136/jech.2004.020073
- Engberg E, Alen M, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Peltonen JE, Tikkanen HO, Pekkarinen H (2012) Life events and change in leisure time physical activity: a systematic review. *Sports Medicine* 42:433–447. doi:10.2165/11597610-000000000-00000
- Evenson KR, Aytur SA, Borodulin K (2009) Physical activity beliefs, barriers, and enablers among postpartum women. *J Womens Health* 18:1925–1934. doi:10.1089/jwh.2008.1309
- Hansen BH, Ommundsen Y, Holme I, Kolle E, Anderssen SA (2014) Correlates of objectively measured physical activity in adults and older people: a cross-sectional study of population-based sample of adults and older people living in Norway. *Int J Public Health* 59:221–230. doi:10.1007/s00038-013-0472-3
- Haynes M, Baxter J, Hewitt B, Western M (2010) Marital status transitions and domestic labour: a multiprocess, multilevel approach. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the American Sociological Association, Atlanta, USA
- Henkens K, van Solinge H, Gallo WT (2008) Effects of retirement voluntariness on changes in smoking, drinking and physical activity among Dutch older workers. *Eur J Pub Health* 18:644–649. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckn095
- Kaufman G, Uhlenberg P (2000) The influence of parenthood on the work effort of married men and women. *Soc Forces* 78:931–947. doi:10.2307/3005936
- Mann KD, Hayes L, Basterfield L, Parker L, Pearce MS (2013) Differing lifecourse associations with sport-, occupational- and household-based physical activity at age 49–51 years: the newcastle thousand families study. *Int J Public Health* 58:79–88. doi:10.1007/s00038-012-0392-7
- Mummery K, Schofield G, Caperchione C (2004) Physical activity dose-response effects on mental health status in older adults. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 28:188–192
- Oman RF, King AC (2000) The effect of life events and exercise program format on the adoption and maintenance of exercise behavior. *Health psychol* 19:605–612
- Osler M, Clausen JO, Ibsen KK, Jensen GB (2001) Social influences and low leisure-time physical activity in young Danish adults. *Eur J Pub Health* 11:130–134
- Parks SE, Housemann RA, Brownson RC (2003) Differential correlates of physical activity in urban and rural adults of various socioeconomic backgrounds in the United States. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 57:29–35
- Perales F, del Pozo-Cruz J, del Pozo-Cruz J, del Pozo-Cruz B (2014a) On the associations between physical activity and quality of life: findings from an Australian nationally representative panel survey. *Qual life Res* 23:1921–1933. doi:10.1007/s11136-014-0645-4
- Perales F, Pozo-Cruz JD, Pozo-Cruz BD (2014b) Impact of physical activity on psychological distress: a prospective analysis of an Australian national sample. *Am J Public Health* 104:e1–e7. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2014.302169
- Pereira MA, Rifas-Shiman SL, Kleinman KP, Rich-Edwards JW, Peterson KE, Gillman MW (2007) Predictors of change in physical activity during and after pregnancy—project viva. *Am J Prev Med* 32:312–319. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2006.12.017
- Singley SG, Hynes K (2005) Transitions to parenthood—work-family policies, gender, and the couple context. *Gender Soc* 19:376–397. doi:10.1177/0891243204271515
- Treuth MS, Butte NF, Puyau M (2005) Pregnancy-related changes in physical activity, fitness, and strength. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 37:832–837. doi:10.1249/01.Mss.0000161749.38453.02
- Watson N, Wooden M (2012) The HILDA Survey: a case study in the design and development of a successful household panel study. *Longitud Life Course Stud* 3:369–381
- Waxman A (2004) World Health Organization global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. *Food Nutr Bull* 25:292–302
- Wheaton B (1990) Life transitions, role histories, and mental-health. *Am Sociol Rev* 55:209–223. doi:10.2307/2095627
- Wooldridge J (2010) Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data, 2nd edn. MIT Press, London
- Zantinge EM, van den Berg M, Smit HA, Picavet HS (2014) Retirement and a healthy lifestyle: opportunity or pitfall? A narrative review of the literature. *Eur J Public Health* 24:433–439. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckt157