



Swiss Implementation Science Network (IMPACT): A Crucial Building Block to Strengthen the Swiss Research Pipeline for Real-World Translation

Suzanne R. Dhaini^{1,2†}, Juliane Mielke^{1,2†}, Thekla Brunkert^{1,2}, Kaspar Wyss^{1,3}, Jürg Utzinger^{1,3} and Sabina De Geest^{1,2,4*}

¹Institute of Nursing Science, Department Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Basel, Switzerland, ²University Department of Geriatric Medicine FELIX PLATTER, Basel, Switzerland, ³Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland, ⁴Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Academic Center for Nursing and Midwifery, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Keywords: building block, implementation science, real world translation, IMPACT, swiss research

The failure to translate scientific evidence into practice is well documented in the literature [1]. In 2019, the Swiss Academy of Medical Science described the considerable gap between scientific discoveries and their application in clinical practice as *the valley of death* [2, 3]. Implementation science (IS) has the potential to overcome this gap [2]. Indeed, IS offers a methodological approach to expedite and strengthen the successful translation of safe and effective interventions into real-life settings. In Switzerland, we observe a growing focus on IS. Further developing and strengthening capacities in IS as early as possible in the research pipeline is pivotal to render research more societally relevant [2, 4, 5].

The Swiss Implementation Science Network¹ (IMPACT) was launched in October 2019. The aims are to (i) showcase the utility of IS by highlighting successful projects; (ii) provide networking and training opportunities within Switzerland and beyond; and (iii) foster funding options for IS in Switzerland [2]. On January 22, 2021, the 1st IMPACT conference was launched, followed by five masterclasses. A total of 237 participants from 25 countries from clinical medicine, epidemiology, nursing, public health among other disciplines participated at the opening event. National and international experts discussed the state of science of IS and illustrated the power of IS for real-world translation of scientific evidence. Four out of seven domains of the "Basel Heptagon of Implementation Science" [2], which highlights specific methodological considerations in IS, were discussed: hybrid designs, theoretical frameworks, contextual analysis, and implementation strategies [2]. Selected examples of successful Swiss IS project were presented including the INTERCARE project [6], whose focus is to develop, implement, and evaluate an interprofessional nurse-led care model in nursing homes to reduce hospitalizations [6].

IS implies a new research paradigm. The two guiding principles are early stakeholder involvement and consistent consideration of real-world application from the conception of an intervention until sustainable implementation. IS builds on clinical research methods, epidemiology, social sciences, system science, and health economics. Successful implementation and sustainability of an evidencebased intervention in real-world settings therefore is team sports. Different disciplines closely collaborate with relevant stakeholders (e.g., patient, families, health insurance, and health policy personnel). The wide variety of disciplines and active stakeholder engagement in IS enriches scientific exchange and exposes the different constituencies to novel methodological approaches with the overarching goal to achieve effective knowledge translation.

^hhttps://impact-dph.unibas.ch;

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Nino Künzli, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Switzerland

IJPH

SSPH-

*Correspondence:

Sabina De Geest sabina.degeest@unibas.ch

[†]These authors share first authorship

Received: 15 March 2021 Accepted: 26 March 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Citation:

Dhaini SR, Mielke J, Brunkert T, Wyss K, Utzinger Jürg and De Geest S (2021) Swiss Implementation Science Network (IMPACT): A Crucial Building Block to Strengthen the Swiss Research Pipeline for Real-World Translation. Int J Public Health 66:1604081. doi: 10.3389/ijph.2021.1604081

In order to further develop IMPACT, we must learn from the experiences of other countries [7, 8]. An online survey was conducted among participants of the 1st IMPACT conference. Internationally, capacity building has been achieved through building a community of implementation scientists with multidisciplinary experts who worked jointly on projects from various backgrounds and disciplines [9]. Accordingly, interdisciplinary alliances fuel IS infrastructures from projectlevel to research institutions [9]. However, potential barriers to IS exist in view of lack of a common language, methodological challenges, a culture of silo-thinking rather than interdisciplinary collaboration and partnership, and shortage of funding opportunities. Strengthening of IS research infrastructure asks for organizational support, strong leadership, specific funding mechanisms, and resources supported by a clear vision and mission and a strategic plan. IS is a complex endeavor that includes methodological considerations that go beyond typical clinical research (e.g., stakeholder involvement, context analysis, and implementation strategies), and hence, funding schemes need to reflect the budgetary requirements for IS projects. Previous experiences and strategies of international funding agencies can inspire how to best develop IS specific funding schemes.

Training and capacity building come in different sizes, ranging from technical assistance (e.g., reviewing grants, providing scientific advice, etc.) to an increasing number of educational offerings, increasingly in the virtual space (e.g., conferences and webinars) internationally. IS infrastructures need to be supported by good communication (e.g., websites, social media, etc.), in addition to the need to further invest in a common language for IS nationally and internationally.

Our IMPACT survey, specifically assessing needs and priorities for IS infrastructures in Switzerland, reflected the elements listed above and highlighted the way forward for operationalization of IS capacity building in Switzerland. The majority of the participants perceived online training and webinars as most relevant activities, along with the

REFERENCES

- Grimshaw JM, Eccles MP, Lavis JN, Hill SJ, and Squires JE. Knowledge translation of research findings. *Implementation Sci* (2012). 7:50. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-50
- De Geest S, Zúñiga F, Brunkert T, Deschodt M, Zullig LL, Wyss K, et al. Powering Swiss health care for the future: implementation science to bridge "the valley of death". Swiss Med Wkly (2020). 150:w20323. doi:10.4414/smw.2020.20323
- Scheidegger D. Medizinischer Fortschritt: Warum verläuft die Translation biologischer Erkenntnisse in neue Therapien so schleppend? SAMW Bull (2019). 3:1. doi:10.4414/smw.2020.20323
- Stevens ER, Shelley D, and Boden-Albala B. Unrecognized implementation science engagement among health researchers in the USA: a national survey. *Implementation Sci Commun* (2020). 1(1):39. doi:10.1186/s43058-020-00056-y
- Westerlund A, Sundberg L, and Nilsen P. Implementation of implementation science knowledge: the research-practice gap paradox. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs (2019). 16(5):332–4. doi:10.1111/wvn.12403
- Sundberg F, De Geest S, Guerbaai RA, Basinska K, Nicca D, Kressig RW, et al. Strengthening geriatric expertise in Swiss nursing homes: INTERCARE

opportunity to exchange with IS experts. The former will be achieved by organizing regular IMPACT webinars, as well as a yearly IMPACT conference. The expressed interest of some participants to more actively engage in IMPACT will be realized by expanding IMPACT's leadership with IS experts from different Swiss universities. Additionally, we will embed IMPACT into an international IS organization, such as the European Implementation Collaborative.²

It is hoped that IMPACT will fuel a vibrant IS community in Switzerland to power the research pipeline for real-world translation thus increasing societal impact of the research money invested. It is a crucial building block in increasing the Swiss IS research capacity, growing the Swiss IS community, while stimulating knowledge circulation nationally and internationally.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SRD and JM conceptualized the study and drafted the paper. TB conceptualized and critically reviewed the paper. KW and JU: critically reviewed the paper. SDG: conceptualized and critically reviewed the paper.

FUNDING

Swiss National Science foundation (NR IZSEZ0_194281) funded the 1st IMPACT conference.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

implementation study protocol. J Am Geriatr Soc (2019). 67(10):2145-50. doi:10.1111/jgs.16074

- Grol R, and Wensing M. What drives change? Barriers to and incentives for achieving evidence-based practice. *Med J Aust* (2004). 180(S6):S57–60. doi:10. 5694/j.1326-5377.2004.tb05948.x
- Oh A, Vinson CA, and Chambers DA. Future directions for implementation science at the National Cancer Institute: implementation science centers in cancer control. *Transl Behav Med* (2021). 11:669–75. doi:10.1093/tbm/ibaa018
- 9. Kühl MJ, and Hubbers JAB. *Norwegian implementation research in global health at the start of 2021 a mapping exercise*. Bergen, Norway: University of Bergen (2021).

Copyright © 2021 Dhaini, Mielke, Brunkert, Wyss, Utzinger and De Geest. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

²https://implementation.eu