## **Peer Review Report**

# Review Report on Life satisfaction before and during COVID-19 pandemic in Thailand

Original Article, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Adekunle Adedeji Submitted on: 22 Feb 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1605483

### **EVALUATION**

Q 1 Please summarize the main findings of the study.

The study explored how the COVID-19 pandemic may affect general well-being through life satisfaction using data from a sample of Thais. The results show that life satisfaction varies based on SES and over time

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

Limitation:

The data and participants are not clearly described.

### Strength:

Reasonable sample size and data longitudinal design are both an advantage of this study.

Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

#### Comments

The sampling is based on households. Does that mean having multiple participants from the same household is possible? If so, It will be interesting to see if there are differences in life satisfaction and its predictors based on household. For example, more samples may report more fruit & vegetable consumption because they are from household A (with 4 participants), where fruit & vegetable are served. This possibility can skew the results.

Also, it would be helpful to include the potential bias this could contribute to the analysis as a limitation.

## PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

the title is too long

Q 5 Are the keywords appropriate?

Yes

Q 6 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

Yes

Q 7	Is the quality of the figures and tables satis	factory?			
Yes.					
Q 8	Does the reference list cover the relevant li	terature adequa	tely and i	n an un	biased manner?)
Yes					
QUALITY	ASSESSMENT				
Q 9	Originality				
Q 10	Rigor				
Q 11	Significance to the field	ļ			
Q 12	Interest to a general audience				
0.13					
Q 13	Quality of the writing				
Q 14	Overall scientific quality of the study				
REVISION	LEVEL				
KEVISION					
Q 15	Please make a recommendation based on ye	our comments:			

Minor revisions.