Peer Review Report

Review Report on Prognostic factors associated with Sleep Duration: oxidative stress and anxiety/Depression in Iranian adults

Original Article, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Mustafa SAYGIN Submitted on: 01 Jun 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1606014

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main findings of the study.

Reviewer Comments:

This manuscript elevated prognostic factors associated with Sleep Duration, oxidative stress and anxiety/depression in Iranian adults. It was reflect valuable results of human health. This study reports interesting and original data adding to our knowledge in the subject.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

A general comment is that manuscript it is good. A general comment is that grammatical mispelling improvement is necessary throughout the manuscript by the journal' rules.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

Abstract:

This section is good it was enough. But, authors should be added p values.

Authors should be added study design.

Introduction:

This section is good.

Methods:

This section is good. Authors should add inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, the limitations of the study should be written.

Results:

This section is good.

Discussion:

Firs sentence should be removed. Line 142-144

Conclusion: This section is good. Authors were impressed future clinical implications.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

Reviewer Comments:

This manuscript elevated prognostic factors associated with Sleep Duration, oxidative stress and anxiety/depression in Iranian adults. It was reflect valuable results of human health. This study reports interesting and original data adding to our knowledge in the subject.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

A general comment is that manuscript it is good. A general comment is that grammatical mispelling improvement is necessary throughout the manuscript by the journal' rules.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

Abstract:

This section is good it was enough. But, authors should be added p values.

Authors should be added study design.

Introduction:

This section is good.

Methods:

This section is good. Authors should add inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, the limitations of the study should be written.

Results:

This section is good.

Discussion:

Firs sentence should be removed. Line 142-144

Conclusion: This section is good. Authors were impressed future clinical implications.

Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

Reviewer Comments:

This manuscript elevated prognostic factors associated with Sleep Duration, oxidative stress and anxiety/depression in Iranian adults. It was reflect valuable results of human health. This study reports interesting and original data adding to our knowledge in the subject.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

A general comment is that manuscript it is good. A general comment is that grammatical mispelling improvement is necessary throughout the manuscript by the journal' rules.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

Abstract:

This section is good it was enough. But, authors should be added p values.

Authors should be added study design.

Introduction:

This section is good.

Methods:

This section is good. Authors should add inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, the limitations of the study should be written.

Results:

This section is good.

Discussion:

Firs sentence should be removed. Line 142-144

Conclusion: This section is good. Authors were impressed future clinical implications.

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

Reviewer Comments:

This manuscript elevated prognostic factors associated with Sleep Duration, oxidative stress and anxiety/depression in Iranian adults. It was reflect valuable results of human health. This study reports interesting and original data adding to our knowledge in the subject.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

A general comment is that manuscript it is good. A general comment is that grammatical mispelling improvement is necessary throughout the manuscript by the journal' rules.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS:			
Abstract: This section is good it was enough. But, authors should be added p values.			
Authors should be added study design.			
Introduction:			
This section is good.			
Methods:			
This section is good. Authors should add inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, the limitations of the study should be written.			
Results:			
This section is good.			
Discussion:			
Firs sentence should be removed. Line 142–144			
Conclusion: This section is good. Authors were impressed future clinical implications.			
Q 5	Are the keywords appropriate?		
appropriate			
Q 6 Is the English language of sufficient quality?			
Enough			
J			
Q 7 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?			
Yes.			
Q 8	Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)		
Enough			
QUALITY ASSESSMENT			
Q 9	Originality		
Q 10	Rigor		
Q 11	Significance to the field		
Q 12	Interest to a general audience		

REVISION LEVEL

Q 13 Quality of the writing

Q 15 Please make a recommendation based on your comments:

Q 14 Overall scientific quality of the study

Minor revisions.