

## Peer Review Report

# Review Report on Cervical Cancer Stigma 1

Original Article, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Karim Al-Jashamy

Submitted on: 05 Nov 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2024.1606725

### EVALUATION

#### Q 1 Please summarize the main findings of the study.

Please find my comments on the text

cancer stigma of cervical cancer exists in Jamaica, Trinidad & Tobago, and Grenada.

178 Particularly, cultural background and social norms are closely linked to stigma. Despite many people  
179 having basic knowledge of cervical cancer, the culturally infused community social norms stigma creates  
180 barriers to cervical cancer related practices including screening, diagnosis, and treatment.

#### Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

Please find my comments on the text

#### Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

Please find my comments on the text

### PLEASE COMMENT

#### Q 4 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

Please find my comments on the text

#### Q 5 Are the keywords appropriate?

Yes

#### Q 6 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

Very good

#### Q 7 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

#### Q 8 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)

No answer given.

## QUALITY ASSESSMENT

<b>Q 9</b>	Originality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Q 10</b>	Rigor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Q 11</b>	Significance to the field	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Q 12</b>	Interest to a general audience	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Q 13</b>	Quality of the writing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Q 14</b>	Overall scientific quality of the study	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## REVISION LEVEL

**Q 15** Please make a recommendation based on your comments:

Minor revisions.