

Peer Review Report

Review Report on Inequities in household out-of-pocket spending among urban slum dwellers in Southeast Nigeria

Original Article, Int. J. Public Health

Reviewer: Manuel Correia Guedes

Submitted on: 31 Oct 2024

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2025.1607969

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main findings of the study.

There are several findings, e.g. differences of income between formal and informal occupations, differences in access to healthcare.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

The main strength is the relevance and originality of this research. Limitations: perhaps a few photos would enrich the paper...

Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

This is a good paper, on a relevant subject: the inequalities of household spending among slum dwellers in Nigeria. The paper is well written and well structured. The number of questionnaires is quite good, and statistics seem sound. Suggestion: perhaps a few photos would further enrich the paper...

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

yes

Q 5 Are the keywords appropriate?

yes

Q 6 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

yes

Q 7 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

Q 8 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)

yes

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 9	Originality	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>
Q 10	Rigor	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>
Q 11	Significance to the field	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>
Q 12	Interest to a general audience	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>
Q 13	Quality of the writing	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>
Q 14	Overall scientific quality of the study	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>

REVISION LEVEL

Q 15 Please make a recommendation based on your comments:

Accept.