

Peer Review Report

Review Report on Beyond the individual –A scoping review and bibliometric mapping of ecological determinants of eating behavior in older adults.

Review, Public Health Rev

Reviewer: Jesús Rivera Navarro

Submitted on: 19 Apr 2022

Article DOI: 10.3389/phrs.2022.1604967

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main theme of the review.

This is a bibliographic review paper that uses the Scoping Review technique. The theme of this paper is determinants of eating behavior in older adults. It seems to me an absolutely pertinent topic, since it has not been widely addressed through reviews.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

I see more strengths than limitations. The strengths are an extensive literature review since 2000, perfectly explained through the flow chart (figure 1). This review is separated by time bands (2000–2004; 2005–2009; 2010–2014 and 2015–2020). This division seems very opportune to me because it allows us to know what the focus is at each moment. The only limitation that I see is not having done any work on the influence of the pandemic on the diet of the elderly.

Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.

The theme of this paper it seems to me an absolutely pertinent topic, since it has not been widely addressed through reviews.

It is an article through which we can observe the main determinants of the diet of the elderly from the point of view of the ecological model.

Social inequality is always present on this model, which is the permanent factor in the authors' analyses.

In addition, suggestions are given regarding nutrition communication strategies for this elderly group.

Through reading this paper, it has become very clear to me what are the main determinants of nutrition in older people.

The only limitation that I see is not having done any work on the influence of the pandemic on the diet of the elderly.

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?

I think that all the relevant literature on this topic has been included in this review.

Q 5 Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)

Yes.

Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

No.

Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications?

Of course, it is a review of scientific literature from various parts of the world and takes into account the cultural aspect of food, for example, the Mediterranean diet.

Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

The title is a bit long for me, I would leave it as follows:
Beyond the individual -Bibliometric mapping of ecological determinants of eating behavior in older adults.

Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate?

Perfectly nothing to say about it

Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

Yes, definitely

Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 13 Quality of generalization and summary



Q 14 Significance to the field



Q 15 Interest to a general audience



Q 16 Quality of the writing



REVISION LEVEL

Q 17 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Accept.