Peer Review Report

Review Report on Divergence and convergence of leadership competency frameworks in undergraduate medical education-scoping review.

Review, Public Health Rev

Reviewer: Ugochukwu Anthony Eze
Submitted on: 23 Mar 2023
Article DOI: 10.3389/phrs.2023.1605806

EVALUATION

Q 1 **Please summarize the main theme of the review.**

A few comments on the method
Think this is a well planned work in all

Q 2 **Please highlight the limitations and strengths.**

I think the chosen method being a Scoping Review is appropriate

Q 3 **Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.**

Line 2 - Think this sentence should be rephrased to may be 'considering our aim, a Scoping Review appeared as the most appropriate study design'

The second sentence on line 2 all down to line 7 appear more as study justifications and objectives of the scoping review (a break down of how the research questions can be answered)
I think it fits more within the last 3 paragraphs of your introduction section.

Think this last point need validation by the editors.

Line 19 and 20
Think the respective quality Assesment tool uses appraisal should be stated clearly to.enable the readers appreciate which one was used for reference and teaching purposes

Page 7 line "Tableau Public" 2021-4 is not an English word. Isn't it appropriate
to translate to English?
Is there no standard English translation?

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 **Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?**

Think the reference is appropriate and well arranged

Q 5 **Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)**

Yes.

Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

Yes.

Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications?

Yes it does as it aims to create evidence that will guide formulation of training standards in medicine

Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

Yes

Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate?

Yes

Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

Yes

Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 13 Quality of generalization and summary

Q 14 Significance to the field

Q 15 Interest to a general audience

Q 16 Quality of the writing

REVISION LEVEL

Q 17 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Minor revisions.