# **Peer Review Report**

# Review Report on Implementation of the Community Component of the Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP): A Scoping Review

Review, Public Health Rev.

Reviewer: Laura Ines Plata Casas Submitted on: 26 Nov 2024

Article DOI: 10.3389/phrs.2025.1607759

### **EVALUATION**

Q 1 Please summarize the main theme of the review.

The manuscript reviews a world-class program of importance to be applied in countries with gaps in mental health care.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

Information about mhGAP is not very extensive. The article covers important aspects to take into account. Integrating cultural and contextual realities is something important that must be considered in the future.

Q3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.

Minor comments: it is suggested to include a paragraph on the importance of the implementation of the mhGAP program in the mental health policies of countries with care gaps.

### **PLEASE COMMENT**

Q 4 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?

Yes

Q 5 Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)

Yes.


Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

No.

# Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications? Yes, it has it. Hence the importance of including the contribution of this program to the mental health policies of the countries. Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive? Yes, it has it. Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate? Yes Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality? Has minor errors Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory? Not Applicable.



## **REVISION LEVEL**

Q 17 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Minor revisions.